



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

gas in place that he can prevent even the owner of the land from committing waste by extraction of oil or gas. See also *Trees v. Eclipse Co.*, 47 W. Va. 107, 34 S. E. 933; *Williamson v. Jones*, 39 W. Va. 231, 25 L. R. A. 222.

MORTGAGES—"CLOG" ON REDEMPTION—COLLATERAL ADVANTAGES.—A covenant was inserted in a mortgage, not to use or sell in a certain house any malt liquors except such as should be purchased of the mortgagees whether any money should or should not be owing on the security of the mortgage. *Held*, upon payment of all due on the security, to be void as being a "clog" on the redemption and a reservation of a collateral advantage outside of the mortgage contract. *Noakes & Co. v. Rice* [1902], A. C. 24.

In England, since the repeal of the usury laws in 1854, there has been a variance in the application of the principles announced. 25 Ir. Law Times, 332; *Santley v. Wilde* [1899], 2 Ch. 474. In this country, the rules have been unreservedly approved. JONES, MORTGAGES, § 1044. Yet it has recently been held that besides principal and interest, a mortgagor must also pay a bonus agreed upon where usury is not specially pleaded. *Yankton B. & L. Ass'n v. Dowling* (1898), 10 S. D. 540. While the principal case expresses the equitable and logical views, it seems difficult for the courts in applying them to determine where the ordinary contract to secure ends and the "clog" or collateral advantage begins.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS—TRAVELERS—STATUTE LIABILITY—PROXIMATE CAUSE.—Plaintiff, who entered a sewer for the purpose of rescuing her child, who had fallen through an open man-hole in the traveled portion of the street, contracted rheumatic fever as a consequence of the exposure and sued the city for damages caused by its negligence in leaving the cover off the man-hole. *Held*: That the city was not liable. *Kelley v. City of Boston*, (Mass.) 62 N. E. Rep. 259 [1902].

The city's liability arises only under the statute requiring it to keep streets reasonably safe for travelers. The plaintiff abandoned her position as a traveler when she voluntarily entered the basin and left the traveled portion of highway. *Harwood v. Oakham*, 152 Mass. 421, 25 N. E. 625. Her voluntary act in entering the catch-basin, and not the negligence of the city in leaving the cover off, was the proximate cause of the injury. Either view sustains the decision and is in accordance with the weight of authority.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS—INJUNCTION TO RESTRAIN THE CARRYING OUT OF CONTRACT—COMPETITIVE BIDDING.—The charter of the City of Baltimore provided that in letting contracts for work and purchasing material of the value of five hundred dollars, the same should be done by advertising for bids and letting to the lowest responsible bidder. The city advertised for bids to collect, remove and dispose of garbage and dead animals, each bidder to submit his own plan of disposal of the garbage and dead animals with his bid. Contract let to defendant, and plaintiff, a tax-payer, files a bill to enjoin the carrying out of the contract. *Held*: That the city should be enjoined from carrying out the contract, on the ground that there was no actual competition as required by the charter. *Packard v. Hayes*, (Md.) 51 Atl. Rep. 32.

The holding of the principal case is the general rule. See *Mazel v. Pittsburg*, 137 Pa. 548, where it was held that in advertising for street paving bids, the city must give specifications as to kind of pavement. Also *Hardware Co. v. Erb*, 54 Ark. 645, where it was held that the Board could not advertise for both plan and bid, and accept a plan and its accompanying bid. The case is interesting as showing the construction by the court of the phrase "lowest bidder," it being held to require actual competition for the purpose of preventing favoritism and fraud, and that this can be done only by the city adopting plans and specifications and having all bidders compete on the same footing.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS—NEGLIGENCE—LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF OFFICERS.—One Cope was employed by the Health Board of the city of Detroit to tear down an old pest house located on grounds owned by the city. He contracted small pox and died. His wife, as administratrix, sued the city for negligently causing the death of her husband, and alleged that Cope was not notified of danger and that the city did not properly disinfect. *Held*: That even if this were so, the city was not liable for negligent acts of the officers of the Board of Health. *Nicholson v. City of Detroit*, (Mich.) 88 N. W. Rep. 695 [1902].

The city is liable for negligent plumbing and draining of a school building, whereby adjacent property is flooded. *Briegel v. Philadelphia*, 135 Pa. 451. Ownership of the land seems to be the deciding point in this case, which cannot be said to be well supported by authorities. Municipal corporations are liable for the improper management and use of their property to the same extent and in the same manner as private corporations and natural persons. 2